

# Summary of Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality

*Prepared by the*  
Federal–Provincial–Territorial Committee on Drinking Water  
of the  
Federal–Provincial–Territorial Committee  
on Health and the Environment

April 2004

The *Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality* are published by Health Canada. In order to keep interested parties informed of changes to the Guidelines between publication of new editions, this summary table is updated and published every spring on Health Canada's website ([www.hc-sc.gc.ca/waterquality](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/waterquality)). The April 2004 "Summary of Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality" supercedes all previous versions, including that contained in the published booklet.

## Membership of the Federal–Provincial–Territorial Committee on Drinking Water and Secretariat

### *Provincial and Territorial Representatives*

Alberta	Department of Environment	Mr. Karu Chinniah
British Columbia	Ministry of Health Services	Mr. Barry Boettger
Manitoba	Department of Water Stewardship	Mr. Don Rocan
New Brunswick	Department of Health and Wellness	Mr. Ivan Brophy
Newfoundland and Labrador	Department of Environment and Conservation	Mr. Martin Goebel
Northwest Territories	Department of Health and Social Services	Mr. Duane Fleming
Nova Scotia	Department of Environment and Labour	Ms. Judy MacDonald
Nunavut Territory	Department of Health and Social Services	Mr. Bruce Trotter
Ontario	Ministry of the Environment	Ms. Patricia Lachmaniuk
Prince Edward Island	Department of Environment and Energy	Mr. George Somers
Québec	Ministère de l'Environnement	Ms. Hélène Tremblay
Saskatchewan	Department of the Environment	Mr. Sam Ferris
Yukon Territory	Department of Health and Social Services	Ms. Patricia Brooks

### *Federal Representatives*

Health Canada		Dr. John Cooper
Environment Canada		Mr. Doug Spry

### *Liaison Officers*

Dr. Jim Popplow	Federal–Provincial–Territorial Committee on Health and the Environment
Mr. Tim Macaulay	Canadian Advisory Council on Plumbing

### *Committee Secretary*

Health Canada (Water Quality and Health Bureau, Safe Environments Programme, Healthy Environments and Consumer Safety Branch)	Mr. David Green
--	-----------------

## New, Revised and Reaffirmed Guidelines

New, revised and reaffirmed guidelines for chemical, physical and microbiological parameters are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1**  
**New, Revised and Reaffirmed Guidelines\* for Chemical, Physical and Microbiological Parameters since the Publication of the Sixth Edition of the *Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality***

Parameter	Guideline (mg/L)	Previous guideline (mg/L)	Year approved
<i>Chemical and Physical Parameters</i>			
Aluminum	0.1**	None	1998
Antimony	IMAC 0.006	None	1997
Bromate	IMAC 0.01	None	1998
Cyanobacterial toxins (as Microcystin-LR)	0.0015	None	2002
Fluoride	MAC 1.5	MAC 1.5	1996
Formaldehyde	None required – see Table 3	None	1997
Uranium	IMAC 0.02	MAC 0.1	1999
<i>Microbiological Parameters</i>			
Bacteria	***		Ongoing
Protozoa	***		Ongoing
Viruses	***		Ongoing

\* MAC = maximum acceptable concentration; IMAC = interim maximum acceptable concentration.

\*\* Refer to note 1 in Table 2.

\*\*\* Refer to section on Summary of Guidelines for Microbiological Parameters.

**Table 2**  
**Consultation Guidelines**

Parameter	Proposed Guideline* (mg/L)		Consultation Concludes
	MAC	AO	
Arsenic	0.005		Fall/Winter 2004
Bacteriological (4 documents)			Jan. 13, 2005
Bromodichloromethane (BDCM)**	0.016		Jan. 7, 2005
Chloral hydrate	NGP		Fall/Winter 2004
Chlorite	1.0		Fall/Winter 2004
Chlorate	1.0		Fall/Winter 2004
Methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE)		0.015	Fall/Winter 2004
Haloacetic Acids (HAAs)			TBD
Trihalomethanes (THMs)	0.1		Jan. 7, 2005
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	0.005		April 5, 2004

\* MAC = Maximum Acceptable Concentration;

AO = Aesthetic Objective;

NGP = No Guideline Proposed.

\*\* Refer to Trihalomethane document.

### Summary of Guidelines for Microbiological Parameters

#### Bacteria (Under Review)

The maximum acceptable concentration (MAC) for bacteriological quality of public, semi-public, and private drinking water systems is no coliforms detectable per 100 mL. However, because coliforms are not uniformly distributed in water and are subject to considerable variation in public health significance, drinking water that fulfills the following conditions is considered to conform to this MAC:

#### Public Drinking Water Supply Systems

1. No sample should contain *Escherichia coli*. *E. coli* indicates recent faecal contamination and the possible presence of enteric pathogens that may adversely affect human health. If *E. coli* is confirmed, the appropriate agencies should be notified, a boil water advisory should be issued, and corrective actions taken.
2. No consecutive samples from the same site or not more than 10% of samples from the distribution system in a given calendar month should show the presence of total coliform bacteria. The ability of total coliforms to indicate the presence of faecal pollution is less reliable than *E. coli*. However, this group of bacteria is a good indicator of quality control. The presence of total coliforms does not necessarily require the issuance of a boil water advisory but corrective actions should be taken.

### **Semi-public and Private Drinking Water Supply Systems**

1. No sample should contain *E. coli*. As stated above, the presence of *E. coli* indicates faecal contamination and the possible presence of enteric pathogens; therefore the water is unsafe to drink. If *E. coli* is detected, a boil water advisory should be issued and corrective actions taken.
2. No sample should contain total coliform bacteria. In non-disinfected well water, the presence of total coliform bacteria in the absence of *E. coli* indicates the well is prone to surface water infiltration and therefore at risk of faecal contamination. In disinfected water systems, the presence of total coliform bacteria indicates a failure in the disinfection process. In both disinfected and non-disinfected systems, total coliform detection may also indicate the presence of biofilm in the well or plumbing system. The degree of response to the presence of total coliform bacteria, in the absence of *E. coli*, may be site specific and can vary between jurisdictions.

### **Protozoa (Under Review)**

Numerical guidelines for the protozoa *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* are not proposed at this time. Routine methods available for the detection of protozoan cysts and oocysts suffer from low recovery rates and do not provide any information on their viability or human infectivity. Nevertheless, until better monitoring data and information on the viability and infectivity of cysts and oocysts present in drinking water are available, measures to reduce the risk of illness as much as possible should be implemented. If viable, human-infectious cysts or oocysts are present or suspected to be present in source waters or if *Giardia* or *Cryptosporidium* has been responsible for past waterborne outbreaks in a community, a treatment regime and a watershed or wellhead protection plan (where feasible) or other measures known to reduce the risk of illness should be implemented.

### **Viruses (Under Review)**

Numerical guidelines for human enteric viruses are not proposed at this time. There are more than 120 types of human enteric viruses, many of which are non-culturable. Testing is complicated, expensive, not available for all viruses, and beyond the capabilities of most laboratories involved in routine water quality monitoring. The best means of safeguarding against the presence of human enteric viruses are based upon the application of adequate treatment and the absence of faecal indicator organisms, such as *Escherichia coli*.

### **Boil Water Advisories**

General guidance on the issuing and rescinding of boil water advisories is provided. In the event of an advisory, a rolling boil for 1 minute is considered adequate.

## **Summary of Guidelines for Chemical and Physical Parameters**

### **Parameters with Guidelines**

Guidelines for all chemical and physical parameters, including all new, revised and reaffirmed maximum acceptable concentrations (MACs), interim maximum acceptable concentrations (IMACs) and aesthetic objectives (AOs), are listed in Table 3. For more information on the drinking water guideline for any particular compound, please refer to the Supporting Documentation for the parameter of concern.

**Table 3**  
**Summary of Guidelines for Chemical and Physical Parameters**

Parameter	Maximum Acceptable Concentration (mg/L)	Aesthetic Objectives (mg/L)	Reason/Comment
aldicarb	0.009		
aldrin + dieldrin	0.0007		
aluminum <sup>4</sup>			
antimony	0.006 <sup>2</sup>		
arsenic	0.025		
atrazine + metabolites	0.005		
azinphos-methyl	0.02		
barium	1.0		
bendiocarb	0.04		
benzene	0.005		
benzo[a]pyrene	0.00001		
boron	5		
bromate	0.01		
bromoxynil	0.005		
cadmium	0.005		
carbaryl	0.09		
carbofuran	0.09		
carbon tetrachloride	0.005		
chloramines (total)	3.0		
chloride		≤250	
chlorpyrifos	0.09		
chromium	0.05		
colour		≤15 TCU <sup>1</sup>	
copper <sup>3</sup>		≤1.0	
cyanazine	0.01		
cyanide	0.2		
cyanobacterial toxins (as microcystin-LR) <sup>5</sup>	0.0015		
diazinon	0.02		
dicamba	0.12		
dichlorobenzene, 1,2- <sup>6</sup>	0.20	≤0.003	
dichlorobenzene, 1,4- <sup>6</sup>	0.005	≤0.001	
dichloroethane, 1,2-	0.005		
dichloroethylene, 1,1-	0.014		
dichloromethane	0.05		
dichlorophenol, 2,4-	0.9	≤0.0003	
dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, 2,4- (2,4-D)	0.1		
diclofop-methyl	0.009		
dimethoate	0.02		
dinoseb	0.01		
diquat	0.07		
diuron	0.15		
ethylbenzene		≤0.0024	

Parameter	Maximum Acceptable Concentration (mg/L)	Aesthetic Objectives (mg/L)	Reason/Comment
fluoride <sup>8</sup>	1.5		
glyphosate	0.28		
iron		≤0.3	
lead <sup>2</sup>	0.010		
malathion	0.19		
manganese		≤0.05	
mercury	0.001		
methoxychlor	0.9		
metolachlor	0.05		
metribuzin	0.08		
monochlorobenzene	0.08	≤0.03	
nitrate	45		
nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA)	0.4		
odour		Inoffensive	
paraquat (as dichloride)	0.01		
parathion	0.05		
pentachlorophenol	0.06	≤0.030	
pH		6.5–8.5 <sup>9</sup>	
phorate	0.002		
picloram	0.19		
selenium	0.01		
simazine	0.01		
sodium <sup>10</sup>		≤200	
sulphate <sup>11</sup>		≤500	
sulphide (as H <sub>2</sub> S)		≤0.05	
taste		Inoffensive	
temperature		≤15°C	
terbufos	0.001		
tetrachloroethylene	0.03		
tetrachlorophenol, 2,3,4,6-	0.1	≤0.001	
toluene		≤0.024	
total dissolved solids (TDS)		≤500	
trichloroethylene	0.05		
trichlorophenol, 2,4,6-	0.005	≤0.002	
trifluralin	0.045		
trihalomethanes (total) <sup>12</sup>	0.1		
turbidity	1 NTU <sup>13</sup>	≤5 NTU <sup>13,14</sup>	
uranium	0.02		
vinyl chloride	0.002		
xylenes (total)		≤0.3	
zinc		≤5.0	

### Notes:

1. A health-based guideline for aluminum in drinking water has not been established. However, water treatment plants using aluminum-based coagulants should optimize their operations to reduce residual aluminum levels in treated water to the lowest extent possible as a precautionary measure. *Operational guidance values* of less than 100 µg/L total aluminum for conventional treatment plants and less than 200 µg/L total aluminum for other types of treatment systems are recommended. Any attempt to minimize aluminum residuals must not compromise the effectiveness of disinfection processes or interfere with the removal of disinfection by-product precursors.
2. Because first-drawn water may contain higher concentrations of metals than are found in running water after flushing, faucets should be thoroughly flushed before water is taken for consumption or analysis.
3. The guideline is considered protective of human health against exposure to other microcystins (total microcystins) that may also be present.
4. TCU = true colour unit.
5. In cases where total dichlorobenzenes are measured and concentrations exceed the most stringent value (0.005 mg/L), the concentrations of the individual isomers should be established.
6. It is recommended, however, that the concentration of fluoride be adjusted to 0.8–1.0 mg/L, which is the optimum range for the control of dental caries.
7. Equivalent to 10 mg/L as nitrate-nitrogen. Where nitrate and nitrite are determined separately, levels of nitrite should not exceed 3.2 mg/L.
8. Equivalent to 0.007 mg/L for paraquat ion.
9. No units.
10. It is recommended that sodium be included in routine monitoring programmes, as levels may be of interest to authorities who wish to prescribe sodium-restricted diets for their patients.
11. There may be a laxative effect in some individuals when sulphate levels exceed 500 mg/L.
12. The IMAC for trihalomethanes is expressed as a running annual average. It is based on the risk associated with chloroform, the trihalomethane most often present and in greatest concentration in drinking water. The guideline is designated as interim until such time as the risks from other disinfection by-products are ascertained. The preferred method of controlling disinfection by-products is precursor removal; however, any method of control employed must not compromise the effectiveness of water disinfection.
13. NTU = nephelometric turbidity unit.
14. At the point of consumption.

### Parameters without Guidelines

Since 1978, some chemical and physical parameters have been identified as not requiring a numerical guideline. Table 4 lists these parameters.

The reasons for parameters having no numerical guideline include the following:

- currently available data indicate no health risk or aesthetic problem (e.g., calcium);
- data indicate the compound, which may be harmful, is not registered for use in Canada (e.g., 2,4,5-TP) or is not likely to occur in drinking water at levels that present a health risk (e.g., silver); or
- the parameter is composed of several compounds for which individual guidelines may be required (e.g., pesticides [total]).

**Table 4**  
**Summary List of Parameters without Guidelines**

Parameter	Parameter
ammonia	mirex
asbestos	phenols
calcium	phthalic acid esters (PAE)
chlordane (total isomers)	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) <sup>2</sup>
dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) + metabolites	radon
endrin	resin acids
formaldehyde	silver
gasoline	tannin
hardness <sup>1</sup>	temephos
heptachlor + heptachlor epoxide	total organic carbon
lignin	toxaphene
lindane	triallate
magnesium	trichlorophenoxyacetic acid, 2,4,5- (2,4,5-T)
methyl-parathion	trichlorophenoxypropionic acid, 2,4,5- (2,4,5-TP)

**Notes:**

1. Public acceptance of hardness varies considerably. Generally, hardness levels between 80 and 100 mg/L (as CaCO<sub>3</sub>) are considered acceptable; levels greater than 200 mg/L are considered poor but can be tolerated; those in excess of 500 mg/L are normally considered unacceptable. Where water is softened by sodium ion exchange, it is recommended that a separate, unsoftened supply be retained for culinary and drinking purposes.
2. Other than benzo[a]pyrene.

### Summary of Guidelines for Radiological Parameters

In setting dose guidelines for radionuclides in drinking water, it is recognized that water consumption contributes only a portion of the total radiation dose and that some radionuclides present are natural in origin and therefore cannot be excluded. Consequently, maximum acceptable concentrations (MACs) for radionuclides in drinking water have been derived based on a committed effective dose of 0.1 mSv\* from one year's consumption of drinking water. This dose represents less than 5% of the average annual dose attributable to natural background radiation.

To facilitate the monitoring of radionuclides in drinking water, the reference level of dose is expressed as an activity concentration, which can be derived for each radionuclide from published radiological data. The National Radiological Protection Board has calculated dose conversion factors (DCFs) for radionuclides based on metabolic and dosimetric models for adults and children. Each DCF provides an estimate of the 50-year committed effective dose resulting from a single intake of 1 Bq\*\* of a given radionuclide.

The MACs of radionuclides in public water supplies are derived from adult DCFs, assuming a daily water intake of 2 L, or 730 L/year, and a maximum committed effective dose of 0.1 mSv, or 10% of the International Commission on Radiological Protection limit on public exposure:

$$\text{MAC (Bq/L)} = \frac{1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (Sv/year)}}{730 \text{ (L/year)} \times \text{DCF (Sv/Bq)}}$$

\* Sievert (Sv) is the unit of radiation dose. It replaces the old unit, rem (1 rem = 0.01 Sv).

\*\* Becquerel (Bq) is the unit of activity of a radioactive substance, or the rate at which transformations occur in the substance. One becquerel is equal to one transformation per second and is approximately equal to 27 picocuries (pCi).



When two or more radionuclides are found in drinking water, the following relationship should be satisfied:

$$\frac{C_1}{MAC_1} + \frac{C_2}{MAC_2} + \dots + \frac{C_i}{MAC_i} \leq 1$$

where  $C_i$  and  $MAC_i$  are the observed and maximum acceptable concentrations, respectively, for each contributing radionuclide.

MACs for radionuclides that should be monitored in water samples are listed in Table 5. If a sample is analysed by gamma-spectroscopy, additional screening for radionuclides that may be present under certain conditions can be performed. MACs for these radionuclides are given in Table 6. MACs for a number of additional radionuclides, both natural and artificial, can be found in the sixth edition of the guidelines booklet.

Water samples may be initially screened for radioactivity using techniques for gross alpha and gross beta activity determinations. Compliance with the guidelines may be inferred if the measurements for gross alpha and gross beta activity are less than 0.1 Bq/L and 1 Bq/L, respectively, as these are lower than the strictest MACs. Sampling and analyses should be carried out often enough to accurately characterize the annual exposure. If the source of the activity is known, or expected, to be changing rapidly with time, then the sampling frequency should reflect this factor. If there is no reason to suppose that the source varies with time, then the sampling may be done annually. If measured concentrations are consistent and well below the reference levels, this would be an argument for reducing the sampling frequency. On the other hand, the sampling frequency should be maintained, or even increased, if concentrations are approaching the reference levels. In such a case, the specific radionuclides should be identified and individual activity concentrations measured.

**Table 5**  
**Primary List of Radionuclides – Maximum Acceptable Concentrations**

Radionuclide		Half-life $t_{1/2}$	DCF (Sv/Bq)	MAC (Bq/L)
<i>Natural Radionuclides</i>				
Lead-210	$^{210}\text{Pb}$	22.3 years	$1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	0.1
Radium-224	$^{224}\text{Ra}$	3.66 days	$8.0 \times 10^{-8}$	2
Radium-226	$^{226}\text{Ra}$	1600 years	$2.2 \times 10^{-7}$	0.6
Radium-228	$^{228}\text{Ra}$	5.76 years	$2.7 \times 10^{-7}$	0.5
Thorium-228	$^{228}\text{Th}$	1.91 years	$6.7 \times 10^{-8}$	2
Thorium-230	$^{230}\text{Th}$	$7.54 \times 10^4$ years	$3.5 \times 10^{-7}$	0.4
Thorium-232	$^{232}\text{Th}$	$1.40 \times 10^{10}$ years	$1.8 \times 10^{-6}$	0.1
Thorium-234	$^{234}\text{Th}$	24.1 days	$5.7 \times 10^{-9}$	20
Uranium-234	$^{234}\text{U}$	$2.45 \times 10^5$ years	$3.9 \times 10^{-8}$	4*
Uranium-235	$^{235}\text{U}$	$7.04 \times 10^8$ years	$3.8 \times 10^{-8}$	4*
Uranium-238	$^{238}\text{U}$	$4.47 \times 10^9$ years	$3.6 \times 10^{-8}$	4*
<i>Artificial Radionuclides</i>				
Cesium-134	$^{134}\text{Cs}$	2.07 years	$1.9 \times 10^{-8}$	7
Cesium-137	$^{137}\text{Cs}$	30.2 years	$1.3 \times 10^{-8}$	10
Iodine-125	$^{125}\text{I}$	59.9 days	$1.5 \times 10^{-8}$	10
Iodine-131	$^{131}\text{I}$	8.04 days	$2.2 \times 10^{-8}$	6
Molybdenum-99	$^{99}\text{Mo}$	65.9 hours	$1.9 \times 10^{-9}$	70
Strontium-90	$^{90}\text{Sr}$	29 years	$2.8 \times 10^{-8}$	5
Tritium**	$^3\text{H}$	12.3 years	$1.8 \times 10^{-11}$	7000

\* The activity concentration of natural uranium corresponding to the chemical guideline of 0.02 mg/L is about 0.5 Bq/L.

\*\* Tritium is also produced naturally in the atmosphere in significant quantities.

**Table 6**  
**Secondary List of Radionuclides – Maximum Acceptable Concentrations (MACs)**

Radionuclide		Half-life $t_{1/2}$	DCF (Sv/Bq)	MAC (Bq/L)
<i>Natural Radionuclides</i>				
Beryllium-7	<sup>7</sup> Be	53.3 days	$3.3 \times 10^{-11}$	4000
Bismuth-210	<sup>210</sup> Bi	5.01 days	$2.1 \times 10^{-9}$	70
Polonium-210	<sup>210</sup> Po	138.4 days	$6.2 \times 10^{-7}$	0.2
<i>Artificial Radionuclides**</i>				
Americium-241	<sup>241</sup> Am	432 years	$5.7 \times 10^{-7}$	0.2
Antimony-122	<sup>122</sup> Sb	2.71 days	$2.8 \times 10^{-9}$	50
Antimony-124	<sup>124</sup> Sb	60.2 days	$3.6 \times 10^{-9}$	40
Antimony-125	<sup>125</sup> Sb	2.76 years	$9.8 \times 10^{-10}$	100
Barium-140	<sup>140</sup> Ba	12.8 days	$3.7 \times 10^{-9}$	40
Bromine-82	<sup>82</sup> Br	35.3 hours	$4.8 \times 10^{-10}$	300
Calcium-45	<sup>45</sup> Ca	165 days	$8.9 \times 10^{-10}$	200
Calcium-47	<sup>47</sup> Ca	4.54 days	$2.2 \times 10^{-9}$	60
Carbon-14	<sup>14</sup> C	5730 years	$5.6 \times 10^{-10}$	200
Cerium-141	<sup>141</sup> Ce	32.5 days	$1.2 \times 10^{-9}$	100
Cerium-144	<sup>144</sup> Ce	284.4 days	$8.8 \times 10^{-9}$	20
Cesium-131	<sup>131</sup> Cs	9.69 days	$6.6 \times 10^{-11}$	2000
Cesium-136	<sup>136</sup> Cs	13.1 days	$3.0 \times 10^{-9}$	50
Chromium-51	<sup>51</sup> Cr	27.7 days	$5.3 \times 10^{-11}$	3000
Cobalt-57	<sup>57</sup> Co	271.8 days	$3.5 \times 10^{-9}$	40
Cobalt-58	<sup>58</sup> Co	70.9 days	$6.8 \times 10^{-9}$	20
Cobalt-60	<sup>60</sup> Co	5.27 years	$9.2 \times 10^{-8}$	2
Gallium-67	<sup>67</sup> Ga	78.3 hours	$2.6 \times 10^{-10}$	500
Gold-198	<sup>198</sup> Au	2.69 days	$1.6 \times 10^{-9}$	90
Indium-111	<sup>111</sup> In	2.81 days	$3.9 \times 10^{-10}$	400
Iodine-129	<sup>129</sup> I	$1.60 \times 10^7$ years	$1.1 \times 10^{-7}$	1
Iron-55	<sup>55</sup> Fe	2.68 years	$4.0 \times 10^{-10}$	300
Iron-59	<sup>59</sup> Fe	44.5 days	$3.1 \times 10^{-9}$	40
Manganese-54	<sup>54</sup> Mn	312.2 days	$7.3 \times 10^{-10}$	200
Mercury-197	<sup>197</sup> Hg	64.1 hours	$3.3 \times 10^{-10}$	400
Mercury-203	<sup>203</sup> Hg	46.6 days	$1.8 \times 10^{-9}$	80
Neptunium-239	<sup>239</sup> Np	2.35 days	$1.2 \times 10^{-9}$	100
Niobium-95	<sup>95</sup> Nb	35.0 days	$7.7 \times 10^{-10}$	200
Phosphorus-32	<sup>32</sup> P	14.3 days	$2.6 \times 10^{-9}$	50
Plutonium-238	<sup>238</sup> Pu	87.7 years	$5.1 \times 10^{-7}$	0.3
Plutonium-239	<sup>239</sup> Pu	$2.41 \times 10^4$ years	$5.6 \times 10^{-7}$	0.2
Plutonium-240	<sup>240</sup> Pu	6560 years	$5.6 \times 10^{-7}$	0.2
Plutonium-241	<sup>241</sup> Pu	14.4 years	$1.1 \times 10^{-8}$	10

\* The activity concentration of natural uranium corresponding to the chemical guideline of 0.1 mg/L (see separate criteria summary on uranium in the Supporting Documentation) is about 2.6 Bq/L.

\*\* Tritium and <sup>14</sup>C are also produced naturally in the atmosphere in significant quantities.

Table 6 (cont'd)

Radionuclide		Half-life $t_{1/2}$	DCF (Sv/Bq)	MAC (Bq/L)
Rhodium-105	$^{105}\text{Rh}$	35.4 hours	$5.4 \times 10^{-10}$	300
Rubidium-81	$^{81}\text{Rb}$	4.58 hours	$5.3 \times 10^{-11}$	3000
Rubidium-86	$^{86}\text{Rb}$	18.6 days	$2.5 \times 10^{-9}$	50
Ruthenium-103	$^{103}\text{Ru}$	39.2 days	$1.1 \times 10^{-9}$	100
Ruthenium-106	$^{106}\text{Ru}$	372.6 days	$1.1 \times 10^{-8}$	10
Selenium-75	$^{75}\text{Se}$	119.8 days	$2.1 \times 10^{-9}$	70
Silver-108m	$^{108\text{m}}\text{Ag}$	127 years	$2.1 \times 10^{-9}$	70
Silver-110m	$^{110\text{m}}\text{Ag}$	249.8 days	$3.0 \times 10^{-9}$	50
Silver-111	$^{111}\text{Ag}$	7.47 days	$2.0 \times 10^{-9}$	70
Sodium-22	$^{22}\text{Na}$	2.61 years	$3.0 \times 10^{-9}$	50
Strontium-85	$^{85}\text{Sr}$	64.8 days	$5.3 \times 10^{-10}$	300
Strontium-89	$^{89}\text{Sr}$	50.5 days	$3.8 \times 10^{-9}$	40
Sulphur-35	$^{35}\text{S}$	87.2 days	$3.0 \times 10^{-10}$	500
Technetium-99	$^{99}\text{Tc}$	$2.13 \times 10^5$ years	$6.7 \times 10^{-10}$	200
Technetium-99m	$^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$	6.01 hours	$2.1 \times 10^{-11}$	7000
Tellurium-129m	$^{129\text{m}}\text{Te}$	33.4 days	$3.9 \times 10^{-9}$	40
Tellurium-131m	$^{131\text{m}}\text{Te}$	32.4 hours	$3.4 \times 10^{-9}$	40
Tellurium-132	$^{132}\text{Te}$	78.2 hours	$3.5 \times 10^{-9}$	40
Thallium-201	$^{201}\text{Tl}$	3.04 days	$7.4 \times 10^{-11}$	2000
Ytterbium-169	$^{169}\text{Yb}$	32.0 days	$1.1 \times 10^{-9}$	100
Yttrium-90	$^{90}\text{Y}$	64 hours	$4.2 \times 10^{-9}$	30
Yttrium-91	$^{91}\text{Y}$	58.5 days	$4.0 \times 10^{-9}$	30
Zinc-65	$^{65}\text{Zn}$	243.8 days	$3.8 \times 10^{-9}$	40
Zirconium-95	$^{95}\text{Zr}$	64.0 days	$1.3 \times 10^{-9}$	100